## Direct Behavior Rating in Behavior Assessment within a Problemsolving Model

Dr. Sandra M. Chafouleas, University of Connecticut Dr. T. Chris Riley-Tillman, East Carolina University Dr. Stephen P. Kilgus, May Institute

Presentation for NASP 2011



## Purpose:

- To review options in student behavior assessment, evaluating strengths and weaknesses within a problem-solving model.
- To define Direct Behavior Rating (DBR) and illustrate how it may be integrated within a problem solving model (e.g., RTI).
- To consider how DBR might be utilized in practice for different assessment purposes and tiers.
- To review materials available for online access.

## What is "response to intervention"?

#### **BASIC QUESTION:** How do we know if X is working?

- Foundations within data-based decision making
- Roots of data-based decision making come from the problem-solving model
- Model became clearly articulated within psychology and then education through applied behavior analysis ---behavioral consultation or prereferral teams
- Initial focus on the individual "case" but now applied to multi-tiered frameworks ("all cases")

(Bergan, 1977, Bergan&Kratochwill, 1990; Tilly, 2009; Reschly& Bergstrom, 2009)



## **Purposes of Assessment**

- Screening

  Who needs help?

  Diagnosis

  Why is the problem occurring?

  Progress Monitoring

  Is intervention working?
- Evaluation
  - How well are we doing overall?

Emphasized by the National Center on Response to Intervention

## How does this work for behavioral domains of student functioning?



## School-based behavior assessment: THE PROBLEM FOR RESEARCH

- Current methods of behavior assessment were not built for utility in problem-solving assessments
- There is need to develop and evaluate of new options that possess desirable characteristics...

(Chafouleas, Volpe, Gresham, & Cook, 2010)

**Desirable Characteristics** 

- Defensible
  - established through psychometric research to provide evidence of reliability and validity for interpretation and use
- Flexible
  - established by methods useful in guiding a variety of assessment questions and situations
- Efficient
  - established by methods that require relatively few resources (feasible <u>and</u> reasonable)
- Repeatable
  - established by methods that yield necessary time series to evaluate intervention effectiveness

Source: Chafouleas, Riley-Tillman, & Christ, 2009; Chafouleas, Riley-Tillman, & Sugai, 2007; Christ, Riley-Tillman, & Chafouleas, 2009)

# School-based behavior assessment and RTI: THE PROBLEM FOR <u>YOU</u>

RTI means service accountability for all = MORE cases with same resources

The traditional assessment and intervention orientation is *not feasible or flexible* for a multitiered framework

#### Solution?

- Quickly design interventions at all tiers
- Collect relevant formative data in a highly feasible manner
- Include a consistent way to analyze data that is quick and easy for anyone to do



What is Direct Behavior Rating?

## DIRECT BEHAVIOR RATING : What is DBR?

An <u>emerging alternative</u> to systematic direct observation and behavior rating scales which involves *brief rating* of target behavior following a specified observation period



Chafouleas, Riley-Tillman, & Christ (2009); Chafouleas, Riley-Tillman, & Sugai (2007); Chafouleas, Riley-Tillman, & McDougal (2002); Christ, Riley-Tillman, & Chafouleas (2009)



## **Our DBR-SIS Scale**

<u>Directions</u>: Place a mark along the line that best reflects <u>the percentage of total time</u> the student exhibited each target behavior. Note that the percentages do not need to total 100% across behaviors since some behaviors may co-occur.



## A little background...

#### Other Names for DBR-like <u>Tools</u>:

- Home-School Note
- Behavior Report Card
- Daily Progress Report
- Good Behavior Note
- Check-In Check-Out Card
- Performance-based behavioral recording



weeks) and under specific and similar conditions (e.g., 45 min. morning seat work)

## Project VIABLE (2006-2011)

<u>Develop</u> instrumentation and procedures, then <u>evaluate</u> defensibility of DBR in decision-making



## DBR-SIS Targets: "The Big 3"General Outcomes

#### **Academic Engagement:**

Actively or passively participating in the classroom activity.

#### **Respectful:**

Compliant and polite behavior in response to adult direction and/or interactions with peers and adults.

#### **Disruptive Behavior:**

A student action that interrupts regular school or classroom activity.



## Possible Applications for DBR-SIS





## Tier I

#### Case Study: Method Comparison in Classwide Assessment

#### Riley-Tillman, Methe, & Weegar (2009)

- <u>Sample</u>: First grade classroom with 14 students
- <u>Design</u>: B-A-B-A
- <u>Intervention</u>: modeling and prompting of silent reading
- <u>Measures</u>: researcher-completed SDO, teacher-completed DBR-SIS
- <u>Conclusion</u>: DBR data can be sensitive to classroom-level intervention effects, maps closely to resource-intensive SDO



	Phase Mean			
	<b>B1</b>	<b>A1</b>	<b>B2</b>	<b>A2</b>
DBR	72	45	63	42
SDO	68	49	61	50

### Kindergarten Students at Pine Grove

• While reviewing discipline referral data over the past three months, the principal at Pine Grove School notices that Bus #7 has a disproportionate number relating to compliance with adult request. The principal speaks with the driver, who reports difficulty maintaining an acceptable level of noise on the bus. Because "all" the students on his bus are much too loud and do not listen to him when asked to lower their voices, he has been handling the problem by writing office referrals for disrespectful behavior. Both the principal and the bus driver agree this problem should be addressed through a plan targeting all students on bus #7.

#### Initial evaluation of DBR-SIS in screening assessment

Chafouleas, Kilgus, Jaffery, & Riley-Tillman (in prep)

<u>Sample</u>: 66 teachers, over 1000 students in grades K-8

<u>Measures</u>: DBR-SIS completed 2x/day over 5 days, 2 standardized behavior screening measures

<u>Analyses</u>: Receiver operating characteristics (ROC) and correlations

<u>Conclusion</u>: Initial work suggests greater accuracy at lower grades, but strengths of various targets change by grade

#### Cut Scores Yielding Best Diagnostic Accuracy Statistics

	Behavior	Grade Grouping	Cut Score (0-10)
	Disruptive	Early elem. Late elem. Middle	2 1 1
	Academic Engagement	Early elem. Late elem. Middle	8 8 9
	Respectful	Early elem. Late elem. Middle	9 9 9
As ge	students	Disruptive:	
0	A En	cademic gagement	



## Tier II

#### **DBR-SIS** in Behavior Consultation Cases

#### Chafouleas, Sanetti, Kilgus, & Maggin (under review)

<u>Sample</u>: 20 teacher-student dyads in elementary grades

Design and Intervention: A-B intervention involving behavioral consultation and DRC-based intervention. Five options for "change metrics" were calculated.

<u>Measures</u>: researcher-completed SDO, teacher-completed DBR-SIS

<u>Conclusion</u>: Change (in expected directions) in student behavior across phases and sources. High correspondence between DBR-SIS and BOSS absolute change metrics suggests that students were ranked similarly across the two measures with regard to intervention responsiveness. Provides preliminary support for the use of DBR-SIS to differentiate between those who have or have not responded to intervention.

Descriptive statistics across scales and phases				
			Mean	SD
DBR-SIS	Disruptive Behavior	Baseline	4.26	1.97
		Intervention	2.58	1.41
	Academic Engagement	Baseline	4.97	2.28
		Intervention	6.82	1.50
	Compliance	Baseline	5.74	1.93
		Intervention	7.34	1.31
BOSS	On-task	Baseline	69.98	19.76
		Intervention	81.94	14.22
	Off-task	Baseline	44.82	21.01
	L	Intervention	28.69	18.54

### DBR-SIS in Classwide Self-Management

## Chafouleas, Sanetti, Jaffery & Fallon (under review)

•<u>Sample</u>: 8<sup>th</sup> grade, 2 teachers and 3 classrooms (17-24 students)

•<u>Design</u>: Multiple baseline across classrooms

•<u>Intervention</u>: Self-monitoring and a group contingency package, implemented over about 2 months

•<u>Measures</u>: student-completed DBR (teacher-checked), researchercompleted SDO

•<u>Conclusion</u>: Classwide intervention overall effective, think about target identification and need for supports based on baseline

DBR-SM and SDO Data Across Classes					
		Baseline	Intervention		
			Phase 1	Phase 2	
		M (SD)	M (SD)	M (SD)	
Ms. S – I	Period 5				
DBR-SM	Prepared.	7.9 (2.03)	7.6 (1.95)	8.8 (1.33)	
	Engagement	6.4 (2.80)	6.8 (2.31)	8.0 (1.71)	
SDO	Engagement	36.2 (12.51)	79.0 (5.08)	83.1 (.34)	
	Off-Task	70.4 (7.60)	30.7 (6.30)	21.7 (8.16)	
Ms. B – I	Period 3				
DBR-SM	Prepared.	9.6 (1.05)	9.9 (0.48)	9.9 (0.24)	
	Engagement	8.6 (1.36)	9.3 (0.99)	9.6 (0.76)	
SDO	Engagement	75.9 (5.68)	86.7 (2.36)	86.7 (5.87)	
	Off-Task	34.7 (4.58)	19.2 (5.53)	16.7 (6.41) 🗍	
Ms. S – Period 1					
DBR-SM	Prepared.	8.1 (1.90)	8.3 (1.35)	8.9 (0.92)	
	Engagement	7.4 (2.02)	7.8 (1.59)	8.1 (1.35)	
SDO	Engagement	57.9 (7.75)	71.0 (13.86)	80.6 (14.94)	
	Off-Task	47.5 (5.00)	34.6 (20.78)	28.9 (14.18)	

## Susie, Sally, and Sandy

 Susie, Sally, and Sandy have been exhibiting significant amounts of in-class verbal aggression (e.g., name-calling, teasing) in Mr. Simon's class, and each student has been sent to the principal's office on numerous occasions. After consulting with the student services team, an assessment and intervention plan is discussed, with emphasis on collecting data for progress monitoring.



## DBR-SIS in Targeted Intervention for Students with ADHD Point, Level, and Slope Estimates for DBR

#### Vujnovic, Fabiano, Chafouleas, & Sen (under review)

•<u>Sample</u>: 13 boys with diagnosis of attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder

•<u>Intervention</u>: DRC-based intervention

•<u>Design</u>: Point, level, slope comparisons over 20 data collection days with both measures

•<u>Measures</u>: teacher-completed DBR-SIS (once at end of day) and DBR-MIS (completed multiple times each day)

•<u>Conclusion</u>: DBR instrumentation and procedures can be flexibly determined to match assessment situation

Point, Level, and Slope Estimates for DBR					
			Mean (SD)		
<b>DBR-MIS</b>					
		point	71.67(31.68)		
		level	79.18(18.52)		
		slope	-0.19 (0.61)		
DBR-SIS: Academic Engagement					
		point	7.13(2.19)		
		level	7.57(1.36)		
		slope	-0.04 (0.05)		
<b>DBR-SIS: Non-Disruptive</b>					
		point 8.05(2.54)			
		level	7.66(2.30)		
		slope	-0.06(0.08)		
			DBR-SIS		
		AE	Non-DB		
	Point	.854**	.830**		
DBR-MIS	Level	.715**	.741**		
	Slope	.415	.758**		

### **DBR-SIS for Monitoring Students At-Risk**

#### Chafouleas, Kilgus, & Hernandez (2009)

- <u>Sample</u>: full day K inclusive classroom, 2 teachers and 22 students
- <u>Measures</u>: teacher-completed DBR-SIS following am and pm over Nov-March for ALL students
- <u>Conclusion</u>: "Local" cut-score comparisons can be useful in examining individual student performance. Periodic reassessment of all may be needed to re-confirm appropriate comparison

Target	Rating	FALL	SPRING
Behavior	Time	<b>M (SD)</b>	<b>M</b> (SD)
Academic	AM	8.72 (1.31)	9.40 (0.63)
Engagement	PM	8.25 (2.03)	9.37 (0.88)
Disruptive	AM	1.30 (1.47)	0.60 (0.62)
Behavior	PM	1.61 (2.08)	0.42 (0.52)



## Chris

 Recently, Chris has been exhibiting high levels of off-task behavior in Ms. Wilson's 7<sup>th</sup> grade English class. Although Ms. Wilson does not describe this behavior as highly problematic, she wants to address it preventively. After consultation with the 7<sup>th</sup> grade team of teachers working with Chris, a tentative intervention plan is discussed and data collection tools are considered. Ms. Wilson makes it clear that she is not interested in highly invasive, resource intensive data collection strategies. Additionally, the 7<sup>th</sup> grade team decides it would like information about how his behavior compares to other students across settings.

# Summary: How might DBR within problem-solving assessment?

Similar to Curriculum-based Measurement (e.g., DIBELS)...

- DBR-SIS offers an *efficient* option for assessment.
- DBR-SIS allows for *defensible* decision making about student risk and progress through *repeated* measurement.
- DBR-SIS allows for standard general outcome measures that are relevant to student success.
   Unlike CBM, DBR-SIS affords additional *flexibility* in individualized target selection.



## Other Random Information ....

- •DBR training
- •DBR in linking assessment and intervention
- •DBR free materials on the web

## DBR-SIS: 3-Part On-Line Training Module









# Questions, comments, and thanks....

Website: <u>www.directbehaviorratings.org</u> Contact: <u>Sandra.chafouleas@uconn.edu</u> or <u>rileytillmant@ecu.edu</u>

